Weyl Spreading Sequence Optimizing CDMA

Hirofumi Tsuda, *Student Member, IEEE,* Ken Umeno

arXiv:1602.04584v5 [cs.IT] 18 Dec 2016

***Abstract*—This paper shows an optimal spreading sequence in the Weyl sequence class belonging to the extended Frank-Zadoff-Chu (FZC) sequence family. The sequences in this class have the desired property that the order of crosscorrelation is low. We evaluate the upper bound of crosscorrelation in the class and construct the optimization problem. Then, we derive the optimal spreading sequences as the global solution of the problem and show their SNR in a special case. From this result, we propose how the initial elements are assigned, that is, how spreading sequences are assigned to each users. We also numerically compare our spreading sequences with other ones, the Gold codes, the sequences of the FZC sequence families, the optimal Chebyshev spreading sequences and the SP sequences in Bit Error Rate.**

***Index Terms*—Spread spectrum communication, Nonlinear programming, Spreading sequence, Signal to noise ratio, Bit error rate**

I. Introduction

SIGNAL TO NOISE RATIO (SNR) is an important index for wireless communication systems. In wireless communication systems, it is the most significant to achieve high capacity [1]. In general, it is necessary and sufficient for achieving high capacity to increase SNR under the condition that the width of the frequency band is constant [2]. Similary, the performance of wireless communication is evaluated in Bit Error Rate (BER). However, these two are not independent, and it is known that BER decreases as SNR increases.

As a wireless communication system, we focus on a code division multiple access (CDMA) system [3], in particular, an asynchronous CDMA system. It is one of the multiple access systems with which many people can communicate each other at the same time [4]. In CDMA systems, spreading sequences are utilized as codes to multiplex. Each user is assigned a different code and uses it to modulate and demodulate his signal.

In CDMA systems, many methods have been proposed to increase SNR. The one of such methods is based on the blind multiuser detection [5]. On the other hand, improving the receiver [6] with the application of digital implementation of ICA [7] and Maximum Likelihood (ML) estimation [8] are also efficient. However, in particularly, ML estimation method needs a large amount of calculations. On the contrary, to increase SNR, the representative approach is to improve spreading sequences. The current spreading sequence of 3G CDMA systems is the Gold code [9]. It is known that the Gold code is optimal in all the binary spreading sequences as well as the Kasami sequence [10]. To explore a better sequence, in [11] and [12], the use of chaotic spreading sequences has been proposed. These chaotic spreading sequences are multivalued sequences, not binary ones, and are obtained from chaotic maps. Examples of such spreading sequences have been given in [13]-[17]. However, the spreading sequences whose SNR is maximum in all the spreading sequences are not yet obtained. In [18], the approach to obtain the capacity of spreading sequences has been proposed. However, the sequence achieving maximal capacity has not been suggested.

To achieve the maximal capacity, we have to derive the practical spreading sequences whose interference noise is minimal. In general, crosscorrelation is treated as a basic component of interference noise, and autocorrelation is related to synchronization at the receiver side and the fading noise. Thus, it is desirable that the first peak of crosscorrelation and the second peak in autocorrelation should be kept low. However, Sarwate [19] has shown that there is an avoidable limitation tradeoff as a relation between lowering crosscorrelation's peak and autocorrelation's second peak. From this result, it is impossible that both of the peaks of crosscorrelation and autocorrelation are zero. Welch [20] shows that the maximum value of crosscorrelation has a universal lower bound. From this result, it is impossible that the maximum value of crosscorrelation is zero in some situations. Therefore, it is not straightforward to derive practical spreading sequences whose SNR is high.

In [19], Sarwate has shown two kinds of characterized sequences on his limitation. One kind is a set of sequences whose periodic crosscorrelation is always zero. We call them Sarwate sequences. The other kind is a set of sequences whose periodic autocorrelation is always zero except for only one point, that is, Frank-Zadoff-Chu (FZC) sequences [21] [22]. In [23], the extended set of the FZC sequences, the FZC sequence families are proposed. They have three parameters and their SNR, autocorrelation and crosscorrelation has been investigated.

In this paper, we define the Weyl sequence class, which is a set of sequences generated by the Weyl transformation [24]. This class belongs to the extended FZC sequence families and includes the Sarwate sequences. The sequence in the Weyl sequence class has a desired property that the order of crosscorrelation is low. We evaluate the upper bound of crosscorrelation and construct the optimization problem: minimize the upper bound of crosscorrelation. From the problem, we derive optimal spreading sequences in the Weyl sequence class. We show SNR of them in a special case and compare them with other sequences in Bit Error Rate.

II. Weyl Sequence Class

In this section, we define the Weyl sequence class and show their properties. Let N be the length of spreading sequences. We define the Weyl sequence (x_n) as the following formula

H. Tsuda and K. Umeno are with the Department of Applied Mathematics and Physics, Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, 606-8561 Japan (email: tsuda.hirofumi.38u@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp, umeno.ken.8z@kyoto-u.ac.jp).

[24]

$$x_n = n\rho + \Delta \bmod 1 \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots, N), \tag{1}$$

where ρ and Δ are real parameters. From the above definition, we can assume that the parameters ρ and Δ satisfy $0 \le \Delta < 1$ and $0 \le \rho < 1$. The sequences whose ρ is an irrational number are used in a Quasi-Monte Carlo method [25]. We apply this sequence to a spreading sequence. Then, the Weyl spreading sequence $(w_{k,n})$ is defined as [26]

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k,n} &= n\rho_k + \Delta_k \bmod 1, \\ w_{k,n} &= \exp(2\pi j x_{k,n}) \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots, N), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where k is the number of the user and j is the unit imaginary number. In CDMA systems, the value of Δ_k has no effects to Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) since $\exp(2\pi j\Delta_k)$ is united to the phase term of the signal. Thus, we set $\Delta_k = 0$. We call the class which consists of Weyl spreading sequences as the Weyl sequence class. Note that this class is similar to the FZC sequence families [23]. The n-th element of the FZC sequence families is defined as

$$u_{k,n} = (-1)^{nM_k} \exp\left(\frac{j\pi(M_k^p n^q + n^r)}{N}\right) \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots, N), \tag{3}$$

where M_k is an integer that is relatively prime to N such that $1 \le M_k < N$ and p, q and r are any real numbers. The triple $\{p, q, r\}$ specifies the set of sequences. When the triple $\{p, q, r\}$ is $\{2, 1, -\infty\}$, we obtain the element of the FZC sequence [21][22]

$$u_{k,n} = (-1)^{nM_k} \exp\left(\frac{j\pi(M_k^2 n)}{N}\right). \tag{4}$$

The Weyl sequence class is obtained when the triple is $\{1, 1, -\infty\}$ and $M_k = \rho_k \cdot 2N/(N+1)$. Note that M_k is not always an integer. Thus, the Weyl sequence class belongs to the extended FZC sequence families whose M_k is a real number.

The element of the Weyl sequence class, $(w_{k,n})$ has a desired property that crosscorrelation is low. We define the periodic correlation function $\theta_{i,k}(l)$ and aperiodic correlation function $\hat{\theta}_{i,k}(l)$ as

$$\theta_{i,k}(l) = C_{i,k}(l) + C_{i,k}(l-N), \tag{5}$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{i,k}(l) = C_{i,k}(l) - C_{i,k}(l-N), \tag{6}$$

where

$$C_{i,k}(l) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{n=1}^{N-l} \overline{w_{i,n+l}} w_{k,n} & 0 \le l \le N-1, \\ \displaystyle\sum_{n=1}^{N+l} \overline{w_{i,n}} w_{k,n-l} & 1-N \le l < 0, \\ 0 & |l| \ge N \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

and $\overline{z}$ is the conjugate of z. The correlation functions $\theta_{i,k}(l)$ and $\hat{\theta}_{i,k}(l)$ have been studied in [19] [20] [27]. When $i \ne k$, $\theta_{i,k}(l)$ and $\hat{\theta}_{i,k}(l)$ are periodic and aperiodic crosscorrelation functions. It is necessary for achieving high SNR to keep the value of the crosscorrelation functions, $|\theta_{i,k}(l)|$ and $|\hat{\theta}_{i,k}(l)|$ low for all $0 \le l < N$. The absolute value of crosscorrelation functions $|\theta_{i,k}(l)|$ and $|\hat{\theta}_{i,k}(l)|$ have the common upper bound that

$$|\theta_{i,k}(l)| \le |C_{i,k}(l)| + |C_{i,k}(l-N)|, \tag{8}$$

$$|\hat{\theta}_{i,k}(l)| \le |C_{i,k}(l)| + |C_{i,k}(l-N)|. \tag{9}$$

With the sequences in the Weyl sequence class, we evaluate the absolute value of $C_{i,k}(l)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} |C_{i,k}(l)| &= \left|\frac{1 - \exp(2\pi j(N-l)(\rho_k - \rho_i))}{1 - \exp(2\pi j(\rho_k - \rho_i))}\right| \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{1 - \cos(2\pi(N-l)(\rho_k - \rho_i))}{1 - \cos(2\pi(\rho_k - \rho_i))}} \\ &= \left|\frac{\sin(\pi(N-l)(\rho_k - \rho_i))}{\sin(\pi(\rho_k - \rho_i))}\right| \\ &\le \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi(\rho_k - \rho_i))|} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi(\rho_i - \rho_k))|}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The equality is attained if and only if

$$(N-l)(\rho_k - \rho_i) = \frac{1}{2} + m, \tag{11}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. From the above result, $|C_{i,k}(l)|$ obeys

$$|C_{i,k}(l)| = O(1). \tag{12}$$

Similarly, $|C_{i,k}(l-N)|$ obeys $O(1)$. Thus, the upper bound of $|\theta_{i,k}(l)|$ and $|\hat{\theta}_{i,k}(l)|$ is independent of N. For the general spreading sequences, due to the central limit theorem (CLT), the crosscorrelations $|\theta_{i,k}(l)|$ and $|\hat{\theta}_{i,k}(l)|$ become large as N becomes large. For this reason, compared to the general spreading sequences, the Weyl spreading sequence is expected to have low crosscorrelation.

III. Optimal Spreading Sequence in Weyl Sequence Class

In this section, we consider an asynchronous binary phase shift keying (BPSK) CDMA system. Our goal is to derive the spreading sequences whose interference noise is the smallest in the Weyl sequence class. Let K, T and T_c be the number of users, the durations of the symbol and each chip, respectively. In this situation, the user i despreads the spreading sequences $(w_{k,n})$ with the spreading sequence of the user i, $(w_{i,n})$. The symbols $b_{k,-1}, b_{k,0} \in \{-1, 1\}$ denote bits which the user k send. The transmitted signal of the user k has time delay τ_k. From [28], we assume that time delay τ_k is distributed in $[0, T)$ and satisfies $l_k T_c \le \tau_k \le (l_k + 1)T_c$, where $l_k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}$ is an integer. Then, the interference noise between the user i and the user k, $I_{i,k}(\tau_k)$ is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} I_{i,k}(\tau_k) = \exp(j\phi_k) \big[&(\tau_k - l_k T_c)\left(b_{k,-1} C_{i,k}(l_k) + b_{k,0} C_{i,k}(l_k - N)\right) \\ &+ ((l_k+1)T_c - \tau_k) \\ &\cdot \{b_{k,-1} C_{i,k}(l_k+1) + b_{k,0} C_{i,k}(l_k+1-N)\}\big], \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $\phi_k \in [0, 2\pi)$ is the phase of user k's carrier. With Eq. (10), the absolute value of the interference noise $I_{i,k}(\tau_k)$ is

evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned}|I_{i,k}(\tau_k)| \leq & (\tau_k - l_k T_c)\{|C_{i,k}(l_k)| + |C_{i,k}(l_k - N)|\} \\ & + ((l_k+1)T_c - \tau_k) \\ & \cdot \{|C_{i,k}(l_k+1)| + |C_{i,k}(l_k+1-N)|\} \\ \leq & \frac{2T_c}{|\sin(\pi(\rho_i - \rho_k))|}.\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Thus, we have shown that the upper bound of interference noise between two sequences is inversely proportional to $|\sin(\pi d(\rho_i - \rho_k))|$. To reduce the interference noise $I_{i,k}(\tau_k)$, it is necessary to reduce $2T_c/|\sin(\pi(\rho_i - \rho_k))|$. To eliminate the absolute value function, we introduce the distance between the phases ρ_i and ρ_k. The distance $d(\rho_i, \rho_k)$ we propose here is given by

$$d(\rho_i, \rho_k) = \min\{|\rho_i - \rho_k|, 1 - |\rho_i - \rho_k|\}. \tag{15}$$

Note that this d satisfies the axiom of distance, and

$$|\sin(\pi(\rho_i - \rho_k))| = \sin(\pi d(\rho_i, \rho_k)), \tag{16}$$

$$0 \leq d(\rho_i, \rho_k) \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{17}$$

if we regard $\rho = 1$ in the same light as $\rho = 0$. From Eq. (15), we rewrite Eq. (14) without any absolute value as

$$|I_{i,k}(\tau_k)| \leq \frac{2T_c}{\sin(\pi d(\rho_i, \rho_k))}. \tag{18}$$

We should take into account the whole interference noise in the users. The whole interference noise I is written as

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} I_{i,k}. \tag{19}$$

With Eq. (18), it is clear that $|I|$ has the upper bound:

$$|I| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{2T_c}{\sin(\pi d(\rho_i, \rho_k))}. \tag{20}$$

Thus, we minimize Eq. (20) and obtain the problem $(\tilde{P})$

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{P}) \quad \min \quad & \sum_{i=1} \sum_{k=1} \frac{1}{\sin(\pi d(\rho_i, \rho_k))} \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \rho_k \in [0,1) \ \ (1 \leq k \leq K).\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

This problem is equivalent to that we minimize the sum of the upper bound of $C_{i,k}(l)$. Thus, the crosscorrelation among all the users is expected to be always low when we solve this problem. From Eq. (16), it is clear that $d(\rho_i, \rho_k) = d(\rho_k, \rho_i)$. Then, in the problem $(\tilde{P})$, we count two times the same distance. Thus, we obtain the equivalent problem $(\tilde{P}')$

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{P}') \quad \min \quad & \sum_{i<k} \frac{1}{\sin(\pi d(\rho_i, \rho_k))} \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \rho_k \in [0,1) \ \ (1 \leq k \leq K).\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

It is not clear if the objective function of the problem $(\tilde{P}')$ is convex since the form of function d is complicated. To eliminate the function d, we introduce slack variables $t_{i,k}$ for (P). Then, the problem $(\tilde{P}')$ is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{P}'') \quad \min \quad & \sum_{i<k} \frac{1}{\sin(\pi t_{i,k})}, \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \rho_k \in [0,1) \ \ (1 \leq k \leq K), \\ & |\rho_i - \rho_k| \geq t_{i,k} \ \ (i < k), \\ & 1 - |\rho_i - \rho_k| \geq t_{i,k} \ \ (i < k), \\ & t_{i,k} \geq 0 \ \ (i < k).\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $\rho_k \leq \rho_{k+1}$. Then, the problem $(\tilde{P}'')$ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}(P) \quad \min \quad & \sum_{i<k} \frac{1}{\sin(\pi t_{i,k})}, \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \rho_k - \rho_i \geq t_{i,k} \ \ (i < k), \\ & 1 - \rho_k + \rho_i \geq t_{i,k} \ \ (i < k), \\ & \rho_{i+1} \geq \rho_i \ \ (1 \leq i \leq K-1), \\ & \rho_1 \geq 0, \rho_K \leq 1, \\ & t_{i,k} \geq 0 \ \ (i < k).\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Notice that the objective function and the inequality constraints of the problem (P) are convex. It has been known that convex programming can be solved with the KKT conditions [29]. To write such conditions, we define the variable vector $\mathbf{x}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\rho} &= (\rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_K)^{\mathrm{T}}, \\ \mathbf{t} &= (t_{1,2}, t_{1,3}, \ldots, t_{1,K}, t_{2,3}, \ldots, t_{K-1,K})^{\mathrm{T}}, \\ \mathbf{x} &= \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\rho} \\ \mathbf{t} \end{pmatrix},\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\rho} \in \mathbb{R}^K$, $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{K(K-1)/2}$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{K(K+1)/2}$ and $\mathbf{z}^{\mathrm{T}}$ is the transpose of $\mathbf{z}$. From the KKT conditions, the solution $\mathbf{x}^*$ is a global solution of (P) if $\mathbf{x}^*$ satisfies the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}&\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i<k} \lambda_{i,k} \nabla c_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i<k} \mu_{i,k} \nabla d_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{K-1} \nu_i \nabla e_i(\mathbf{x}^*) \\ &+ \xi_1 \nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) + \xi_K \nabla g_K(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i<k} o_{i,k} \nabla h_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0},\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}f(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{i<k} \frac{1}{\sin(\pi t_{i,k})}, \\ c_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}) &= t_{i,k} + \rho_i - \rho_k, \\ d_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}) &= t_{i,k} - 1 - \rho_i + \rho_k, \\ e_i(\mathbf{x}) &= \rho_i - \rho_{i+1}, \\ g_1(\mathbf{x}) &= -x_1, \\ g_K(\mathbf{x}) &= x_K - 1, \\ h_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}) &= -t_{i,k},\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

and the Lagrange multipliers $\lambda_{i,k}, \mu_{i,k}, \nu_i, \xi_1, \xi_K$ and $o_{i,k}$ are non-negative real numbers. They have to satisfy the following

conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}) < 0 &\Rightarrow \lambda_{i,k} = 0, \\ d_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}) < 0 &\Rightarrow \mu_{i,k} = 0, \\ e_i(\mathbf{x}) < 0 &\Rightarrow \nu_i = 0, \\ g_1(\mathbf{x}) < 0 &\Rightarrow \xi_1 = 0, \\ g_K(\mathbf{x}) < 0 &\Rightarrow \xi_K = 0, \\ h_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}) < 0 &\Rightarrow o_{i,k} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

In the appendix A, we prove that the global optimal solutions ρ_i^* and $t_{i,k}^*$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_i^* &= \gamma + \frac{i-1}{K} \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, K), \\ t_{i,k}^* &= \min\left\{ \frac{|k-i|}{K}, 1 - \frac{|k-i|}{K} \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where γ is a real number. From the above result, the optimal spreading sequence of the user k, $(\tilde{w}_{k,n})$ is given by

$$\tilde{w}_{k,n} = \exp\left(2\pi j n \left(\gamma + \frac{k-1}{K} \right) \right) \tag{30}$$

for a real number γ. This is equivalent to the following spreading sequences:

$$\tilde{w}_{k,n} = \exp\left(2\pi j n \left(\gamma + \frac{\sigma_k}{K} \right) \right), \tag{31}$$

where $\sigma_k \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, K-1\}$ which satisfies $\sigma_k \neq \sigma_i$ when $k \neq i$. This sequence is obtained from the triple $\{1, 1, -\infty\}$ and $M_k = 2N(\gamma + \sigma_k/K)/(N+1)$ in the FZC sequence families. If $N = 2(L+1)$, $K = L+1$ and $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$, where L is an even number, then our sequences are equivalent to the Song-Park (SP) sequences ($\sigma_k = L+1$ is not used) [30]. If $K = N$ and $\gamma = 0$, our sequences are equivalent to the Sarwate sequences [19].

IV. SNR with the Optimal Spreading Sequence

In the previous section, we fix the number of users K and derive optimal spreading sequences in the Weyl spreading sequence class. This spreading sequence is expected to be useful when the number of the users K is fixed. However, the number of users in a channel changes as time passes. Thus, in this section, we fix the maximum number of users in a channel, $K_{\max}$ and assign the $\sigma_k \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, K_{\max} - 1\}$ to K users.

The spreading sequences assigned to the user k is expressed as

$$\tilde{w}_{k,n}(K_{\max}, \gamma) = \exp\left(2\pi j n \left(\gamma + \frac{\sigma_k}{K_{\max}} \right) \right) \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots, N). \tag{32}$$

Note that optimal spreading sequence of the problem (P) is expressed as

$$\tilde{w}_{k,n}(K, \gamma) = \exp\left(2\pi j n \left(\gamma + \frac{\sigma_k}{K} \right) \right) \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots, N). \tag{33}$$

In particular, when $K_{\max} = N$ and $\gamma = 0$, this spreading sequence is equivalent to the sequence shown by Sarwate [19]. This spreading sequence has the one that the periodic crosscorrelation function is always 0, that is,

$$\theta_{i,k}(l) = C_{i,k}(l) + C_{i,k}(l - N) = 0 \tag{34}$$

for all l. Note that $\theta_{i,k}(0) = 0$ in all the γ . Thus, we define $K_{\max}$ as N, that is, we consider the following sequences

$$\tilde{w}_{k,n}(N, \gamma) = \exp\left(2\pi j n \left(\gamma + \frac{\sigma_k}{N} \right) \right) \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots, N). \tag{35}$$

In this section, we assume that σ_k is a random variable and is uniformly distributed in $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, N-1\}$. In the next section, we consider how to assign σ_k in a systematic approach.

The expression of SNR of the user i is obtained in [28] [17] as

$$\mathrm{SNR}_i = \left\{ (6N^3)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^{K} r_{i,k} + \frac{N_0}{2E} \right\}^{-1/2}, \tag{36}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_{i,k} = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \{ & |C_{i,k}(l-N)|^2 + \mathrm{Re}[C_{i,k}(l-N)\overline{C_{i,k}(l-N-1)}] \\ & + |C_{i,k}(l-N+1)|^2 + |C_{i,k}(l)|^2 \\ & + \mathrm{Re}[C_{i,k}(l)\overline{C_{i,k}(l+1)}] + |C_{i,k}(l+1)|^2 \}, \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

E is the energy per data bit and N_0 is the power of Gaussian noise. SNR is the ratio between the variance of a desired signal and the one of a noise signal. In the appendix B, with spreading sequence $\{\tilde{w}_{k,n}(N, \gamma)\}$, we prove that SNR of the user i is given by

$$\mathrm{SNR}_i = \left\{ R_i + \frac{N_0}{2E} \right\}^{-1/2}, \tag{38}$$

where

$$R_i = \frac{(K-1)}{18N^2} \left\{ 2(N+1) + (N-2)\cos\left(2\pi \left(\gamma + \frac{\sigma_i}{N} \right) \right) \right\}. \tag{39}$$

Equation (38) is obtained when the ratio K/N is close to 1, that is, the number of users K is sufficiently large. From Eqs. (38) and (39), the spreading sequence $\{\tilde{w}_{i,n}(N, \gamma)\}$ has different SNR in σ_i. Thus, some users have high SNR and other users have low SNR. The lower bound of SNR_i is

$$\underline{\mathrm{SNR}_i} = \left\{ \frac{K-1}{6N} + \frac{N_0}{2E} \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{40}$$

V. How to Assign σ_k

In this section, we consider how to assign σ_k to the each users. Let us consider the spreading sequences

$$\tilde{w}_{k,n}(N, \gamma) = \exp\left(2\pi j n \left(\gamma + \frac{\sigma_k}{N} \right) \right).$$

From Eq. (29), it is demanded that we assign σ_k at regular interval. However, these sequences cannot be used if the number of users changes. Thus, we have to make the rule to assign σ_k when the number of users changes.

We give the rule to assign σ_k in the situation that the number of users monotonic increases. From the demanded of the problem (P), it is desirable that we assign σ_k to each users at regular interval. Thus, it is appropriate to assign them at nearly regular interval in every number of users. We apply the

Van der Corput sequence [31] to the method to assign σ_k since the sequence is a regular interval sequence in some situations. For example, the Van der Corput sequence (v_n) is obtained as

$$(v_n) = \left\{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{5}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{7}{8}, \frac{1}{16}, \ldots\right\}. \quad (41)$$

In particular, when we take the first eight elements out from (v_n) and sort them, we obtain the sequence

$$\left\{0, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{2}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{4}{8}, \frac{5}{8}, \frac{6}{8}, \frac{7}{8}\right\}. \quad (42)$$

This sequence is a regular interval sequence. We can consider (v_n) as a nearly regular interval sequence.

When the length of spreading sequences N equals 2^m, where $m > 1$ is an integer, (v_n) is rewritten in terms of $1/N$. For example, when $N = 16$, the sequence (v_n) is obtained as

$$(v_n) = \left\{0, \frac{8}{16}, \frac{4}{16}, \frac{12}{16}, \frac{2}{16}, \frac{10}{16}, \frac{6}{16}, \frac{14}{16}, \frac{1}{16}, \ldots\right\}. \quad (43)$$

Thus, we propose that we use the k-th element of (v_n) as σ_k/N, that is, the spreading sequences are expressed as

$$\tilde{w}_{k,n}(N) = \exp\left(2\pi jn\left(\gamma + v_k\right)\right), \quad (44)$$

where v_k is the k-th element of (v_n).

VI. Simulation Result

In this section, we simulate an asynchrnous CDMA communication system and discuss the performance of the spreading sequences obtained by Eqs. (31) (35) (44). We use two parameters $\gamma = 1/(2N)$ and $\gamma = 1/(2K)$, which are independent of the number of users K and depend on K. We consider a BPSK model. The channel has a additional white Gaussian noise (AWGN) and no fading signals. We measure the average Bit Error Rate that

$$\mathrm{BER} = \frac{1}{K}\frac{1}{U}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\sum_{u=1}^{U}\mathrm{BER}_{k,u}, \quad (45)$$

where U is the trial numbers, u is the u-th trial number and $\mathrm{BER}_{k,u}$ is the Bit Error Rate of the user k at the u-th trial. In each trial, we choose randomly the parameter the delay τ_k, the symbol b_k and the phase ϕ_k and the initial elements of sequence σ_k. This section consists of three subsections. In the first subsection, we compare the spreading sequences obtained by Eq. (35) and (31) with other sequences, the Gold codes [9], the optimal Chebyshev spreading sequences [14] and the FZC sequence families [23]. In particular, we choose the triple $\{p, q, r\} = \{1.0, 1.0, 1.275\}$ as the parameters of the FZC sequence families. This triple is shown in [23] as the optimal parameters when $N = 31$ with N being the length. In the second subsection, we compare the spreading sequences obtained by Eq. (35) and (31) with one obtained by Eq. (44). We compare the random assigning approach with the systematic approach. In the final subsection, we compare the spreading sequences obtained by Eq. (35) and (31) with the SP sequences [30].

A. Comparison with Other Sequences

We consider the following spreading sequences:

$$\tilde{w}_{k,n}(N,\gamma) = \exp\left(2\pi jn\left(\gamma + \frac{\sigma_k}{N}\right)\right) \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots, N),$$
$$\tilde{w}_{k,n}(K,\gamma) = \exp\left(2\pi jn\left(\gamma + \frac{\sigma_k}{K}\right)\right) \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots, N).$$

The former sequence is obtained from Eq. (35) and the latter sequence is obtained from Eq. (31). In this section, the "Weyl" spreading sequence is $\{\tilde{w}_{k,n}(N,\gamma)\}$ and the "Optimal" one is $\{\tilde{w}_{k,n}(K,\gamma)\}$. Note that the optimal spreading sequences are different in the number of users K. The "Upper Bound" is obtained from Eq. (38).

Figure 1 shows the relation between the number of users and Bit Error Rate (BER) when $N = 31$ and $E/N_0 = 25$(db). In this figure, we set $\gamma = \frac{1}{2N}$, which is independent of the number of users K. The BER of the Weyl spreading sequences is lower than the one of the Gold codes and the optimal Chebyshev spreading sequences. However, it is higher than one of the FZC sequence families. The upper bound is established when the number of the users K is larger than 20. On the other hands, the BER of the global solution of the problem (P), the Optimal Weyl sequences $\{\tilde{w}_{k,n}(K,\gamma)\}$ is the lowest. These sequences are dramatically efficient when the number of the users K is fixed.

Figure 2 shows the relation between the E/N_0(db) and Bit Error Rate (BER) when $K = 7$. We used the two cases for γ, $\gamma = \frac{1}{2N}$ and $\gamma = \frac{1}{2K}$. The former parameter $\gamma = \frac{1}{2N}$ is independent of K and the latter parameter $\gamma = \frac{1}{2K}$ is dependent on K. In this figure, the BER of the Weyl spreading sequences $\left\{\tilde{w}_{k,n}(N, \frac{1}{2K})\right\}$ is lower than one of the FZC sequence families. This result shows that BER of the Weyl spreading sequences is changed when the value of γ is varied. However, the BER of the optimal sequence, which is the global solution of (P), is independent of γ.



Fig. 1. Comparison with other sequences: relation between the number of users and BER: $E/N_0 = 25$(db), N=31

B. Comparison with Systematic Approaches

In section V, we discussed how to assign the element σ_k to the user k and proposed the method to assign. We set the length $N = 32$ and the parameter $\gamma = \frac{1}{2N}$. We compare two types of Weyl spreading sequences, whose σ_k is randomly assigned to



Fig. 2. Comparison with other sequences: relation between the E/N_0(db) and BER: $K = 7$, N=31

user k and whose $\frac{\sigma_k}{N}$ is orderly assigned as the k-th element of the Van der Corput sequence. Note that the first K elements of the Van der Corput sequence are used as $\frac{\sigma_k}{N}$ when the number of the users is K. Figure 3 shows the relation between the number of users and BER when $E/N_0 = 25$(db). The BER of the spreading sequences obtained by Eq. (44) is lower than one of the spreading sequences to which we randomly assign σ_k. The Weyl spreading sequences will have better performance if we successfully assign σ_k.



Fig. 3. Comparison with systematic approaches: relation between the number of the users and BER: $N = 32$

C. Comparison with SP Sequence

The Song-Park (SP) sequences have been proposed in [30]. We set the length $N = 30$ and the number of the users $K = 7$. Then, the maximum number of the users of the SP sequences is 14. Thus, we compare them with four types of the Weyl spreading sequences. We choose the parameters as $(K_{\max}, \gamma) = \{(30, 1/(2N)), (30, 1/(2K)), (14, 1/(2N)), (14, 1/(2K))\}$. The parameter $K_{\max} = 14$ represents the situation where the maximum number of the users is 14. Thus, the Weyl spreading sequences $\{\tilde{w}_{k,n}(14, \gamma)\}$ have the same feature to the SP sequences. Figure 4 shows the relation between the E/N_0(db) and BER. The BER of the Weyl spreading sequences whose $K_{\max} = 30$ is higher than one of the SP sequences. However, the BER of the Weyl spreading sequences whose $K_{\max} = 14$ and one of the SP sequences are the same. Further, the BER of the Weyl spreading sequences whose $K_{\max} = 14$ is the same BER in different γ. This result and the result of subsection (A) could suggest that the optimal parameter γ depends on N, K and $K_{\max}$. The BER of the global solutions is lowest and each BER of them is the same in $\gamma = \frac{1}{2N}$ and $\frac{1}{2K}$. By taking into account these, we conclude that the BER of the global solution is independent of γ.



Fig. 4. Comparison with SP sequence: relation between the E/N_0(db) and BER: $K = 7$, $N = 30$

VII. Conclusion

In this paper, we have defined the Weyl sequence class and shown the features of the sequences in the class. We have constructed the optimization problem: minimize the upper bound of the absolute value of the whole interference noise and derive the global solutions. From this solution, we can derive other sequences, the Sarwate's sequences, the SP sequences. The global solution is dramatically efficient when the number of the users K is fixed. We have evaluated their SNR and shown the simulation results.

In the global solution of the problem (P), the parameter γ is any real number. However, its BER depends on γ when we let the maximum number of users $K_{\max}$ as N or other number, not K. The remained issue is to investigate the optimal γ and how to assign σ_k successfully to the user k.

Appendix A

In this appendix, we prove that the global optimal solutions of (P), ρ_i^* and $t_{i,k}^*$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_i^* &= \gamma + \frac{i-1}{K} \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, K), \\ t_{i,k}^* &= \min\left\{\frac{|k-i|}{K}, 1 - \frac{|k-i|}{K}\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where γ is a real number.

Since the problem (P) is a convex programming, it is necessary and sufficient for the global solution to satisfy the KKT conditions, Eqs. (26)-(28).
When ρ_i^* satisfies Eq. (28), it is clearly that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_i &= 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, K-1), \\ o_{i,k} &= 0 \quad (i < k) \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

since $e_i(\mathbf{x}^*) < 0$ and $h_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}^*) < 0$. We let $\xi_1 = \xi_K = 0$. Thus, it is sufficient to consider only two kinds of the Lagrange multipliers, $\lambda_{i,k}$ and $\mu_{i,k}$. They satisfy the following equation which is obtained from Eq. (26):

$$\begin{aligned} &-\sum_{i<k} \frac{\pi\cos(\pi t_{i,k}^*)}{\sin^2(\pi t_{i,k}^*)} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{e}_{i,k} \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{i<k} \lambda_{i,k} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_k \\ \mathbf{e}_{i,k} \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \sum_{i<k} \mu_{i,k} \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_k \\ \mathbf{e}_{i,k} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where $\mathbf{e}_i \in \mathbb{R}^K$ have 1 in the i-th element and 0 in the others and $\mathbf{e}_{i,k} \in \mathbb{R}^{K(K-1)/2}$ have 1 in the $\{i(2K-i-1)/2+k-K\}$-th element and 0 in the others. From Eq. (48), we consider two vector equations. One is the first K-dimensional vector equation of Eq. (48) and the other is the last $K(K-1)/2$-dimensional vector equation. They are expressed as

$$\sum_{i<k} (\lambda_{i,k} - \mu_{i,k})(\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_k) = \mathbf{0}, \tag{49}$$

$$\sum_{i<k} \left(\frac{\pi\cos(\pi t_{i,k}^*)}{\sin^2(\pi t_{i,k}^*)} - \lambda_{i,k} - \mu_{i,k} \right) \mathbf{e}_{i,k} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{50}$$

Then, we define $\alpha(t_{i,k}^*)$ as

$$\alpha(t_{i,k}^*) = \frac{\pi\cos(\pi t_{i,k}^*)}{\sin^2(\pi t_{i,k}^*)}. \tag{51}$$

Note that $\alpha(t_{i,k}^*) \geq 0$ since $0 < t_{i,k}^* \leq \frac{1}{2}$. From the definition of $t_{i,k}^*$, $\alpha(t_{i,k}^*)$ only depends on the absolute value of difference, $|k-i|$. We therefore rewrite $\alpha(t_{i,k}^*)$ as

$$\alpha(t_{i,k}^*) = \tilde{\alpha}(|k-i|). \tag{52}$$

The variable $\tilde{\alpha}(|k-i|)$ has the property that

$$\tilde{\alpha}(k) = \tilde{\alpha}(K-k) \ \ (1 \leq k \leq K). \tag{53}$$

This result is obtained from the definition of $t_{i,k}^*$. We consider the two types of K: K is an odd number or K is an even number.

A. K is an odd number

For all i and k $(i < k)$, ρ_i^*, ρ_k^* and $t_{i,k}^*$ satisfy either only $c_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$ or $d_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$. They satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} &c_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0, d_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}^*) < 0, (k-i < K/2), \\ &d_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0, c_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}^*) < 0, (k-i > K/2), \\ &\lambda_{i,k} = \begin{cases} \tilde{\alpha}(k-i) & (k-i < K/2) \\ 0 & (k-i > K/2) \end{cases}, \\ &\mu_{i,k} = \begin{cases} 0 & (k-i < K/2) \\ \tilde{\alpha}(k-i) & (k-i > K/2) \end{cases}. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

We consider the n-th element of the left side of Eq. (49).

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n<k} (\lambda_{n,k} - \mu_{n,k}) - \sum_{i<n} (\lambda_{i,n} - \mu_{i,n}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n<k \\ k-n<K/2}} \lambda_{n,k} + \sum_{\substack{i<n \\ n-i>K/2}} \mu_{i,n} - \sum_{\substack{i<n \\ n-i<K/2}} \lambda_{i,n} - \sum_{\substack{n<k \\ k-n>K/2}} \mu_{n,k} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n<k \\ k-n<K/2}} \tilde{\alpha}(k-n) + \sum_{\substack{i<n \\ n-i>K/2}} \tilde{\alpha}(n-i) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{i<n \\ n-i<K/2}} \tilde{\alpha}(n-i) - \sum_{\substack{n<k \\ k-n>K/2}} \tilde{\alpha}(k-n) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n<k \\ k-n<K/2}} \tilde{\alpha}(k-n) + \sum_{\substack{i<n \\ n-i>K/2}} \tilde{\alpha}(K+i-n) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{i<n \\ n-i<K/2}} \tilde{\alpha}(n-i) - \sum_{\substack{n<k \\ k-n>K/2}} \tilde{\alpha}(K+n-k) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n<k \\ k-n<K/2}} \tilde{\alpha}(k-n) + \sum_{\substack{i<n \\ n-i<K/2}} \tilde{\alpha}(n-i) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{i<n \\ n-i<K/2}} \tilde{\alpha}(n-i) - \sum_{\substack{n<k \\ k-n<K/2}} \tilde{\alpha}(k-n) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

From Eq. (54), for all the integers i and k, the term in summation of the left side of Eq. (50) equals 0. From the above proof, all the Lagrange multipliers satisfy Eq. (28).

B. K is an even number

The Lagrange multipliers ρ_i^*, ρ_k^* and $t_{i,k}^*$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} &c_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0, d_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}^*) < 0, \quad (k-i < K/2), \\ &d_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0, c_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}^*) < 0, \quad (k-i > K/2), \\ &d_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0, c_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0, \quad (k-i = K/2). \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

When $k-i = K/2$, they satisfy $c_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$ and $d_{i,k}(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$. Thus, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{i,k} &= \begin{cases} \tilde{\alpha}(k-i) & (k-i < K/2), \\ \tilde{\alpha}(k-i)/2 & (k-i = K/2), \\ 0 & (k-i > K/2) \end{cases} \\ \mu_{i,k} &= \begin{cases} 0 & (k-i < K/2), \\ \tilde{\alpha}(k-i)/2 & (k-i = K/2), \\ \tilde{\alpha}(k-i) & (k-i > K/2) \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

Similar to the case that K is an odd number, we consider the n-th element of left side of Eq. (49).

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n<k} (\lambda_{n,k} - \mu_{n,k}) - \sum_{i<n} (\lambda_{i,n} - \mu_{i,n}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n<k \\ k-n<K/2}} \lambda_{n,k} - \sum_{\substack{n<k \\ k-n>K/2}} \mu_{n,k} - \sum_{\substack{i<n \\ n-i<K/2}} \lambda_{i,n} + \sum_{\substack{i<n \\ n-i>K/2}} \mu_{i,n} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{n<k \\ k-n=K/2}} \lambda_{n,k} - \sum_{\substack{n<k \\ k-n=K/2}} \mu_{n,k} - \sum_{\substack{i<n \\ n-i=K/2}} \lambda_{i,n} + \sum_{\substack{i<n \\ n-i=K/2}} \mu_{i,n}. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

The terms of the difference equaling $K/2$ vanish. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n<k}(\lambda_{n,k}-\mu_{n,k})-\sum_{i<n}(\lambda_{i,n}-\mu_{i,n})\\
&=\sum_{\substack{n<k\\ k-n<K/2}}\lambda_{n,k}-\sum_{\substack{n<k\\ k-n>K/2}}\mu_{n,k}-\sum_{\substack{i<n\\ n-i<K/2}}\lambda_{i,n}+\sum_{\substack{i<n\\ n-i>K/2}}\mu_{i,n}\\
&=\sum_{\substack{n<k\\ k-n<K/2}}\tilde{\alpha}(k-n)-\sum_{\substack{n<k\\ k-n>K/2}}\tilde{\alpha}(k-n)\\
&\quad-\sum_{\substack{i<n\\ n-i<K/2}}\tilde{\alpha}(n-i)+\sum_{\substack{i<n\\ n-i>K/2}}\tilde{\alpha}(n-i)\\
&=\sum_{\substack{n<k\\ k-n<K/2}}\tilde{\alpha}(k-n)-\sum_{\substack{n<k\\ k-n>K/2}}\tilde{\alpha}(K-k+n)\\
&\quad-\sum_{\substack{i<n\\ n-i<K/2}}\tilde{\alpha}(n-i)+\sum_{\substack{i<n\\ n-i>K/2}}\tilde{\alpha}(K-n+i)\\
&=\sum_{\substack{n<k\\ k-n<K/2}}\tilde{\alpha}(k-n)-\sum_{\substack{n<k\\ k-n<K/2}}\tilde{\alpha}(k-n)\\
&\quad-\sum_{\substack{i<n\\ n-i<K/2}}\tilde{\alpha}(n-i)+\sum_{\substack{i<n\\ n-i<K/2}}\tilde{\alpha}(n-i)=0.
\end{aligned}\tag{59}$$

Thus, we have proven that Eq. (59) equals to 0. It is clearly that the left side of Eq. (50) equals 0 when $k-i\neq K/2$. When $k-i=K/2$, it follows that

$$\tilde{\alpha}(K/2)-\frac{\tilde{\alpha}(K/2)}{2}-\frac{\tilde{\alpha}(K/2)}{2}=0.\tag{60}$$

Thus, for all the integer i and k, Eq. (50) is satisfied.

From the proofs A and B, we have proven that the existence of the Lagrange multipliers which satisfy Eq. (28). Therefore, ρ_i^* and $t_{i,k}^*$ are the global solutions of the problem (P).

Appendix B

In this appendix, with the spreading sequences $\{\tilde{w}_{k,n}(N,\gamma)\}$, we prove that SNR of the user i is given by

$$\mathrm{SNR}_i=\left\{R_i+\frac{N_0}{2E}\right\}^{-1/2},\tag{61}$$

where

$$R_i=\frac{(K-1)}{18N^2}\left\{2(N+1)+(N-2)\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_i}{N}\right)\right)\right\}.\tag{62}$$

We assume that the element σ_k is a random variable uniformly distributed in $\{0,1,2,\ldots,N-1\}$. This assumption is fullfilled when the ratio K/N is close to 1, that is, the number of users is sufficiently large since SNR is not the reciprocal of the average of the interference noise over the users. However, with the spreading sequences $\{\tilde{w}_{k,n}(N,\gamma)\}$, they are equivalent when the number of users K equals N (see Eq. (73)). Thus, it is conceivable that the assumption is established when the ratio K/N is close to 1.

The correlation function $C_{i,k}(l)$ of the spreading sequences in Eq. (31) is

$$C_{i,k}(l)=\begin{cases}-Z_{\sigma_i,\sigma_k}\Phi_{\gamma,\sigma_i,\sigma_k}(l) & 0\le l\le N-1,\\ Z_{\sigma_i,\sigma_k}\Phi_{\gamma,\sigma_i,\sigma_k}(l) & 1-N\le l<0,\\ 0 & |l|\ge N,\end{cases},\tag{63}$$

where

$$Z_{\sigma_i,\sigma_k}=\frac{\exp\left(2\pi j\frac{\sigma_k-\sigma_i}{N}\right)}{1-\exp\left(2\pi j\frac{\sigma_k-\sigma_i}{N}\right)}\tag{64}$$

and

$$\Phi_{\gamma,\sigma_i,\sigma_k}(l)=\exp\left(-2\pi jl\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_k}{N}\right)\right)-\exp\left(-2\pi jl\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_i}{N}\right)\right).\tag{65}$$

Thus, we obtain the squared absolute value of $C_{i,k}(l)$:

$$|C_{i,k}(l)|^2=\frac{1-\cos\left(2\pi l\frac{\sigma_k-\sigma_i}{N}\right)}{1-\cos\left(2\pi\frac{\sigma_k-\sigma_i}{N}\right)}.\tag{66}$$

On the other hand, the following relations are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{l=0}^{N-1}|C_{i,k}(l-N)|^2=\sum_{l=0}^{N-1}|C_{i,k}(l-N+1)|^2=\sum_{l=0}^{N-1}|C_{i,k}(l)|^2\\
&=\sum_{l=0}^{N-1}|C_{i,k}(l+1)|^2=\frac{N}{1-\cos\left(2\pi\frac{\sigma_k-\sigma_i}{N}\right)},
\end{aligned}\tag{67}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{l=0}^{N-1}\mathrm{Re}[C_{i,k}(l-N)\overline{C_{i,k}(l-N+1)}]\\
&=\frac{N\left\{\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_k}{N}\right)\right)+\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_i}{N}\right)\right)\right\}}{2\left(1-\cos\left(2\pi\frac{\sigma_k-\sigma_i}{N}\right)\right)},
\end{aligned}\tag{68}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{l=0}^{N-1}\mathrm{Re}\left[C_{i,k}(l-N)\overline{C_{i,k}(l-N+1)}\right]\\
&=\sum_{l=0}^{N-1}\mathrm{Re}\left[C_{i,k}(l)\overline{C_{i,k}(l+1)}\right].
\end{aligned}\tag{69}$$

In the above equations, we used the assumption $\sigma_i\neq\sigma_k$. From Eqs. (67)-(69), $r_{i,k}$ in Eq. (37) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{i,k}=&\frac{N}{1-\cos\left(2\pi\frac{\sigma_k-\sigma_i}{N}\right)}\\
&\cdot\left\{4+\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_k}{N}\right)\right)+\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_i}{N}\right)\right)\right\}.
\end{aligned}\tag{70}$$

When we calculate the sum of Eq. (70), the first term of it is given by

$$\sum_{\substack{k=1\\ k\neq i}}\frac{4N}{1-\cos\left(2\pi\frac{\sigma_k-\sigma_i}{N}\right)}=\sum_{\substack{k=1\\ k\neq i}}\frac{2N}{\sin^2\left(\pi\frac{\sigma_k-\sigma_i}{N}\right)}.\tag{71}$$

The integer $\sigma_k\in\{0,1,2,\ldots,N-1\}$ is a random variable and satisfies $\sigma_k\neq\sigma_i$ when $k\neq i$. Thus, σ_k is expressed as

$$\sigma_k=\sigma_i+q \bmod N,\quad q\in\{1,2,\ldots,N-1\}.\tag{72}$$

and we can treat q as a random variable instead of σ_k. The integer q is uniformly distributed in $\{1,2,\ldots,N-1\}$. Thus, the

average of Eq. (71) is

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{E}\left\{\sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}\frac{2N}{\sin^2\left(\pi\frac{\sigma_k-\sigma_i}{N}\right)}\right\}\\&=\sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}\mathrm{E}\left\{\frac{2N}{\sin^2\left(\pi\frac{\sigma_k-\sigma_i}{N}\right)}\right\}\\&=\sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}\frac{1}{N-1}\sum_{q=1}^{N-1}\frac{2N}{\sin^2(\pi\frac{q}{N})}\\&=\frac{K-1}{N-1}\sum_{q=1}^{N-1}\frac{2N}{\sin^2\left(\pi\frac{q}{N}\right)},\end{aligned}\tag{73}$$

where E is the average over σ_k.

In [32], it is shown that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\sin^2(\pi\frac{k}{n})}=\frac{n^2-1}{3}=\frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{3}.\tag{74}$$

Thus, Eq. (73) is equivalent to

$$\frac{K-1}{N-1}\sum_{q=1}^{N-1}\frac{2N}{\sin^2\left(\pi\frac{q}{N}\right)}=\frac{2N\,(N+1)\,(K-1)}{3}.\tag{75}$$

From the above result, we obtain the following relation

$$\mathrm{E}\left\{\sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}\frac{4N}{1-\cos\left(2\pi\frac{\sigma_k-\sigma_i}{N}\right)}\right\}=\frac{2N\,(N+1)\,(K-1)}{3}.\tag{76}$$

The average of the second term of the sum of Eq. (70) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}\mathrm{E}\left\{\frac{N\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_k}{N}\right)\right)}{1-\cos\left(2\pi\frac{\sigma_k-\sigma_i}{N}\right)}\right\}\\&=\frac{K-1}{N-1}\sum_{q=1}^{N-1}\frac{N}{2\sin^2\left(\pi\frac{q}{N}\right)}\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_i+q}{N}\right)\right)\\&=\frac{N(K-1)}{N-1}\sum_{q=1}^{N-1}\left\{\frac{\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_i}{N}\right)\right)\cos\left(2\pi\frac{q}{N}\right)}{2\sin^2\left(\pi\frac{q}{N}\right)}\right.\\&\quad\left.-\frac{\sin\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_i}{N}\right)\right)\sin\left(2\pi\frac{q}{N}\right)}{2\sin^2\left(\pi\frac{q}{N}\right)}\right\}\\&=\frac{N(K-1)}{N-1}\sum_{q=1}^{N-1}\left\{\frac{\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_i}{N}\right)\right)\left(1-2\sin^2\left(\pi\frac{q}{N}\right)\right)}{2\sin^2\left(\pi\frac{q}{N}\right)}\right.\\&\quad\left.-\frac{\sin\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_i}{N}\right)\right)\cos\left(\pi\frac{q}{N}\right)}{\sin\left(\pi\frac{q}{N}\right)}\right\}.\end{aligned}\tag{77}$$

Note that it is clear that

$$\sum_{q=1}^{N-1}\frac{\cos\left(\pi\frac{q}{N}\right)}{\sin\left(\pi\frac{q}{N}\right)}=0.\tag{78}$$

Therefore, Eq. (77) is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{N(K-1)}{N-1}\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_i}{N}\right)\right)\sum_{q=1}^{N-1}\left\{\frac{1}{2\sin^2\left(\pi\frac{q}{N}\right)}-1\right\}\\&=N(K-1)\left(\frac{N+1}{6}-1\right)\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_i}{N}\right)\right)\\&=\frac{N(N-5)(K-1)}{6}\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_i}{N}\right)\right).\end{aligned}\tag{79}$$

Thus, the sum of the average of the second term in Eq. (70) is written as

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}^{K}\mathrm{E}\left\{\frac{N\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_k}{N}\right)\right)}{1-\cos\left(2\pi\frac{\sigma_k-\sigma_i}{N}\right)}\right\}\\&=\frac{N(N-5)(K-1)}{6}\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_i}{N}\right)\right)\end{aligned}\tag{80}$$

Similarly, we obtain the average of the sum of the third term of Eq. (70):

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{E}\left\{\sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}\frac{N\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_i}{N}\right)\right)}{1-\cos\left(2\pi\frac{\sigma_k-\sigma_i}{N}\right)}\right\}\\&=\frac{K-1}{N-1}\sum_{q=1}^{N-1}\frac{N\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_i}{N}\right)\right)}{2\sin^2\left(\pi\frac{q}{N}\right)}\\&=\frac{N(N+1)(K-1)}{6}\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_i}{N}\right)\right).\end{aligned}\tag{81}$$

Finally, we obtain the following relation

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{E}\left\{\sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}\frac{N\left(\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_k}{N}\right)\right)+\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_i}{N}\right)\right)\right)}{1-\cos\left(2\pi\frac{\sigma_k-\sigma_i}{N}\right)}\right\}\\&=\frac{N(N-2)(K-1)}{3}\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_i}{N}\right)\right).\end{aligned}\tag{82}$$

From Eq. (76) and Eq. (82), we arrive at SNR of the user i with the spreading sequence $(\tilde{w}_{k,n})$

$$\mathrm{SNR}_i=\left\{R_i+\frac{N_0}{2E}\right\}^{-1/2},\tag{83}$$

where

$$R_i=\frac{(K-1)}{18N^2}\left\{2(N+1)+(N-2)\cos\left(2\pi\left(\gamma+\frac{\sigma_i}{N}\right)\right)\right\}.\tag{84}$$

Acknowledgment

One of the authors, Hirofumi Tsuda, would like to thank for advise of Prof. Nobuo Yamashita and Dr. Shin-itiro Goto.

References

[1] C. E. Shannon, “A mathematical theory of communication,” *Bell System Technical Journal*, Volume 27, Issue 3, 379-423 (1948).
[2] S. Verdú and S. Shamai, “Spectral efficiency of CDMA with random spreading.” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 45.2 (1999): 622-640.
[3] J. Proakis, “Digital Communications. 1995”, McGraw-Hill, New York.
[4] R. Steele and L. Hanzo, “Mobile Radio Communications”, Second and Third Generation Cellular and WATM Systems: 2nd. IEEE Press-John Wiley, 1999.
[5] M. Honig, U. Madhow and S. Verdu, “Blind adaptive multiuser detection.” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 41.4 (1995): 944-960.

[6] T. Ristaniemi and J. Joutsensalo, “Advanced ICA-based receivers for block fading DS-CDMA channels”, *Signal Processing*, 82.3 (2002): 417-431.
[7] A. Hyvärinen, J. Karhunen, and E. Oja, “Independent Component Analysis”, Vol. 46. John Wiley & Sons, 2004.
[8] S. Verdu, “Minimum probability of error for asynchronous Gaussian multiple-access channels”, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 32.1 (1986): 85-96.
[9] R. Gold, “Optimal binary sequences for spread spectrum multiplexing (Corresp.).” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 13.4 (1967): 619-621.
[10] T. Kasami, “Weight distribution formula for some class of cyclic codes.” *Coordinated Science Laboratory Report*, no. R-285 (1966).
[11] G. Heidari-Bateni and C. D. McGillem, “A chaotic direct-sequence spread-spectrum communication system.” *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 42.234 (1994): 1524-1527.
[12] K.S. Halle, C.W. Wu, M. Itoh and L.O. Chua, “Spread spectrum communication through modulation of chaos.” *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 3.02 (1993): 469-477.
[13] Y. Soobul, K. Chady and H. C.S. Rughooputh, “Digital chaotic coding and modulation in CDMA.” *Africon Conference in Africa, 2002. IEEE AFRICON. 6th*. Vol. 2. IEEE, 2002.
[14] C.C. Chen, K. Yao, K. Umeno and E. Biglieri, “Design of spread-spectrum sequences using chaotic dynamical systems and ergodic theory.” *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory and Applications*, 48.9 (2001): 1110-1114.
[15] K. Umeno and K. Kitayama. “Spreading sequences using periodic orbits of chaos for CDMA.” *Electronics letters* 35.7 (1999): 545-546.
[16] G. Mazzini, R. Rovatti and G. Setti, “Interference minimisation by autocorrelation shaping in asynchronous DS-CDMA systems: chaos-based spreading is nearly optimal.” *Electronics Letters*, 35.13 (1999): 1054-1055.
[17] G. Mazzini, G. Setti, and R. Rovatti, “Chaotic complex spreading sequences for asynchronous DS-CDMA. I. System modeling and results.” *IEEE Transactions onCircuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory and Applications*, 44.10 (1997): 937-947.
[18] R. Riccardo, G. Mazzini and G. Setti, “On the ultimate limits of chaos-based asynchronous DS-CDMA-I: basic definitions and results”, *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems* I, 51.7 (2004): 1336-1347.
[19] D. V. Sarwate, “Bounds on crosscorrelation and autocorrelation of sequences”, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 25.6 (1979): 720-724.
[20] L. R. Welch, “Lower bounds on the maximum cross correlation of signals”, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 20.3 (1974): 397-399.
[21] R. Frank, S. Zadoff and R. Heimiller, “Phase shift pulse codes with good periodic correlation properties (corresp.).” *IRE Transactions on Information Theory* 8.6 (1962): 381-382.
[22] D. Chu, “Polyphase codes with good periodic correlation properties (corresp.).” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 18.4 (1972): 531-532.
[23] J. Oppermann and B. S. Vucetic, “Complex spreading sequences with a wide range of correlation properties.” *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 45.3 (1997): 365-375.
[24] H. Weyl. Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins. Math. Ann, 77:313-352, 1916. (In German).
[25] J. Dick and F. Pillichshammer, “Digital nets and sequences: Discrepancy Theory and Quasi-Monte Carlo Integration”. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
[26] K. Umeno, ”Spread Spectrum Communications Based on Almost Periodic Functions ” *IEICE Technical Report*, NLP 2014-101, pp. 11-16 (2014)(In Japanese)
[27] D. V. Sarwate and M. B. Pursley, “Crosscorrelation properties of pseudorandom and related sequences.” *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 68.5 (1980): 593-619.
[28] M. B. Pursley, “Performance evaluation for phase-coded spread-spectrum multiple-access communication. I-system analysis.” *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 25 (1977): 795-799.
[29] W. Kuhn and A. W. Tucker, “Nonlinear programming”, in J. Neyman (ed.), Proceedings of the Second Berkley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability (University of California Press, Berkley, CA), pp. 481-492, 1951.
[30] S. R. Park, L. Song and S. Yoon, “A new polyphase sequence with perfect even and good odd cross-correlation functions for DS/CDMA systems.” *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 51.5 (2002): 855-866.
[31] J.G. Van der Corput, “Verteilungsfunktionen I und II”. *Proc. K. Ned. Akad. Wet.*, 38 (1935), p. 813 .
[32] E. R. Hansen, “A table of series and products.” Prentice Hall Series in Automatic Computation, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall, 1975 1 (1975), Eq. (24.1.2).